Appl. No. 10/659,255

Amendment dated: December 13, 2004 Reply to OA of: September 17, 2004

## REMARKS

The Examiner's Final Office Action dated September 17, 2004 has been carefully reviewed. In view of the above amendments made to the specification and the claims, and for the reasons provided below, early allowance of pending claims 1 and 3 to 5 is respectfully requested.

## I. Specification Objection - 35 U.S.C. 132

The Examiner has pointed out that the amendment filed in August 3, 2004 introduces new matter into the disclosure under 35 U.S.C. 132.

Accordingly, as set forth above, the phrases introduced in the previous amendment which the Examiner regards as improper "new matter" were deleted and, instead of that, the disclosures as originally filed, but deleted through the previous amendment, were restored in the respective parts corresponding thereto, and therefore, it is believed that the new matter objection to the specification has been overcome.

## II. Claim Objections and Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 112

The Examiner has objected claim 1 because of the informalities such as "formula (i)" and has rejected claims 1 to 9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In this connection, the Examiner's kind attention is invited to the fact that claim 1 has been now amended to restrict to only six compounds synthesized in Examples 1 to 6 with the cancellation of claims 2 and 6 to 9; and to rectify the term "formula (i)" to -- formula (I) --.

Therefore, it is firmly believed that the claimed invention as set forth in the proposed amendment is completely supported by the specification as originally filed, and accordingly, that the objections and 112 rejections have been overcome.

Appl. No. 10/659,255

Amendment dated: December 13, 2004 Reply to OA of: September 17, 2004

## Conclusion III.

In view of the foregoing amendments and discussions, it is respectfully submitted that the present invention as defined in the pending claims 1 and 3 to 5 and the specification is in full compliance with all the statutory requirements, and, therefore, it is earnestly requested that the Examiner's rejections be withdrawn and that the pending claims be allowed in their present form.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

Richard E. Fichter

Registration No. 26,382

625 Slaters Lane, 4th Fl. Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Phone: (703) 683-0500

Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

REF:kdd A02.wpd

December 13, 2004